UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/640,368	08/13/2003	Michael V. Chobotov	021630-000810US	8463
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 02/08/2007 & BARON, LLP		EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3735	
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/640,368	CHOBOTOV ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel G. Gilbert	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set o	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. It is period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	·				
	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	ander Expans quayre, rece e.e					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-54,62 and 63</u> is/are pendi	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-54,62 and 63</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 40,44,46,48,52 and 54 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38,39,41-43,45,47,49-51,53,62 and 63</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	*					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anumanta kawa basa masiwad	•				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
<u> </u>						
·	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the Internation	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ropoived				
* See the attached detailed Office action	ior a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/2004</u> .	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of I(b) and II(a), claims 38, 39, 41-43, 45, 47--51, 53, 62 and 63 in the reply filed on 11/20/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 40, 44, 46, 48, 52 and 54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/20/2006. The applicant listed claim 48 as being directed to the elected embodiment and then labeled the claim "withdrawn". It is the examiner's position that claim 48 is directed to I(a) and therefore should be withdrawn and therefore will not be considered. Further the applicant lists claims 48 and 51 as generic. The examiner disagrees and believes that both claims 48 and 51 are not generic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 62, 63, 47, 49, 50, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 62 - in line 6, "the transversely oriented member" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3735

Claim 63 - it is unclear if "a transversely oriented member" is the same as set forth in claim 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 38, 39, 41-43, 45, 47, 49, 50, 51, 53, 62 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davila et al (6,245,100).

Claim 38 - it is the examiner's position that cuffs -73- and -75- are flaps, at least a portion of stent -60- is traversely oriented with respect to the flexible graft member -70-. The flaps are folded over the end portions of stent -60- forming a loop and the flap is secured by applying heat and pressure, column 10 line 2.

Claim 39 - as shown in figure 7 the flexible material is secured to itself to form a single layer.

Claim 41 - the examiner is considering stent -60- to be a flexible material to which the flap is secured.

Application/Control Number: 10/640,368

Art Unit: 3735

Claim 42 the flexible layer is expanded PTFE, column 8 lines 43-65.

Claim 43 - the examiner is taking the heat and pressure to be thermomechanical compaction.

Claim 45 - the examiner is taking the heating as "sintering".

Claims 62 and 63 - it is the examiner's position that cuffs -73- and -75- are flaps, at least a portion of stent -60-, a member, is traversely oriented with respect to the flexible graft member -70-. The flaps are folded over the end portions of stent -60-forming a loop and the flap is secured by applying heat and pressure, column 10 line 2.

Claim 47 - as shown in figure 7 the flexible material is secured to itself to form a single layer.

Claim 49 - the examiner is considering stent -60- to be a flexible material to which the flap is secured.

Claim 50 the flexible layer is expanded PTFE, column 8 lines 43-65.

Claim 51 - the examiner is taking the heat and pressure to be thermomechanical compaction.

Claim 53 - the examiner is taking the heating as "sintering".

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 6,391,052 and 6,524,336 both teach cuffed(flap) structure as claimed.

Art Unit: 3735

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571-272-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel G. Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735